

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/595,114	06/16/2000		Eddy H. Kimura	6871-106/10002164	1591
27614	7590	05/05/2005	EXAMINER		
		GLISH, LLP	YUN, EUGENE		
FOUR GATEWAY CENTER 100 MULBERRY STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEWARK,	NJ 0710	2	2682		

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Ŋ
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/595,114	KIMURA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Eugene Yun	2682	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address	S
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fail	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I 36(a). In no event, however, may a system of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status				
1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.		
3)∐	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	·	rits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 3,4 and 12-35 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3,4 and 12-35 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Applica	tion Papers			
′—	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)🛚	The drawing(s) filed on 19 June 2003 is/are: a		•	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	*		121/4)
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
, —	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u>□</u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	e
Attachme	nt(s)			
_	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/595,114

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The Affidavit of Shane Hopkins under 37 CFR 1.131 filed on 12/13/2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Wizig (US 6,735,569) reference.
- 2. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the Wizig reference. While conception is the mental part of the inventive act, it must be capable of proof, such as by demonstrative evidence or by a complete disclosure to another. Conception is more than a vague idea of how to solve a problem. The requisite means themselves and their interaction must also be comprehended. See *Mergenthaler v. Scudder*, 1897 C.D. 724, 81 O.G. 1417 (D.C. Cir. 1897).

After through consideration of Exhibits A, B, and C, the examiner did not find sufficient evidence in any of the exhibits to suggest that the teachings of the claims in the current application were taught before the filing date of the Wizig reference.

For example, none of the exhibits disclosed any limitations to teach "at least one benefits vendor computer system communicating with said at least one benefits coordinator computer system such that benefits information is provided from said at least one benefits vendor computer system to said at least one benefits recipient system via said portal web site, said at least one benefits coordinator computer system being a system which is separate from said at least one benefits vendor computer system", or "at least one benefits coordinator computer system selecting vendor information from at said least one vendor computer system for delivery to said at least

Application/Control Number: 09/595,114

Art Unit: 2682

one benefits recipient system based, at least in part upon information stored on said at least one benefits coordinator computer system" as claimed in claim 12 of the current application.

The examiner needs clearer evidence in the exhibits that all the limitations in the current pending claims were taught or suggested for the examiner to be convinced that the invention was conceived by the applicants prior to November 4, 1999, the filing date of the Wizig reference.

Based on the above reasons, the previous rejections to Claims 3, 4, and 12-35 are maintained.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/595,114

Art Unit: 2682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (571) 272-7860. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene Yun Examiner Art Unit 2682

EY

VIVIAN CHIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600